

## **REMARKS**

1. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the Examiner's comments which have greatly assisted Applicant in responding.
2. **35 U.S.C. §102(e).** The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-15 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Card *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,646,652 B2).

The rejection of Claims 1-15 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) is deemed moot in view of the declaration by the inventor, Michael Steele, under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 that Applicant has attached, swearing behind Card.

Additionally, Card does not teach or disclose the invention as claimed.

Accordingly, Claims 1-15 are in allowable condition. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e).

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, Applicant considers the present invention to be distinguished from the art of record. Accordingly, Applicant earnestly solicits the Examiner's withdrawal of the rejections raised in the above referenced Office Action, such that a Notice of Allowance is forwarded to Applicant, and the present application is therefore allowed to issue as a United States patent.

Respectfully Submitted,

  
Michael A. Glenn,  
Reg. No. 30,176

Customer number 22862.